UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,435	12/06/2004	Tommi Vuoristo	FORSAL - 96	7453
36528 75 STIENNON & S	590 01/24/2007		EXAMINER	
612 W. MAIN S			KIM, SANG K	
P.O. BOX 1667 MADISON, WI	53701-1667		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
W. 1210011, W.1	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		3654	
				, <u>1 a jan</u>
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/509,435	VUORISTO, TOMMI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SANG KIM	3654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Pre.	Amdt. 9/28/04.					
	action is non-final.					
·—	, _					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-34</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· ·						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-34</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 September 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/28/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/509,435 Page 2

Art Unit: 3654

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, <u>a bearing journal</u>, at <u>least one suction cup beam</u>, <u>a backing spindle</u>, <u>recited in claims 25-34</u>, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/509,435

Art Unit: 3654

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 17-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

All of the claims reciting the phrase, "the growth of the web roll defining a growth direction," is indefinite and vague. Since the web roll gets bigger in 360 degrees, which direction is considered to be "a growth direction"?

Claim 26 is indefinite and vague. What is considered to be "a loading means"?

Claims 29 and 33 are indefinite and vague. How is the winding shaft relieved by means of a shaft locking device"? What is considered to be "means of a shaft locking device"?

Claim 32 is indefinite and vague. What is considered to be "a relief means"?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marco, U.S. Patent No. 6199789 B1.

Regarding claims 17 and 22, Marco teaches a method of using the apparatus, as shown in figures 1-14. A first winding drum and a second winding drum 11, 13

supporting at least one empty core there in between, bringing a winding shaft 31 to a ready position to be pushed inside the at least one core and placing in between the drums, see figure 2, winding a web on the at least one core and moving the winding shaft 31 in a vertical direction, see figures 12-14, (note, Marco recognizes that the winding shaft 31 can be moved in a vertical direction and not limited to a stationary position), removing the winding shaft 31 once the roll has reached a selected size from the drums, see figures 1-14.

Regarding claims 18-21 and 23-24, as stated above, Marco teaches the concept of the winding shaft 31 able to move axially and vertically with guides, with one fixed end (on the left end) and a free end (on the right end), and the free end being secured (no reference number, see figure 14) and able to move up and down, see figures 12-14.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 25-34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and 112 2nd issues.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SANG KIM whose telephone number is 571-272-6947.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,435 Page 5

Art Unit: 3654

The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M. alternating Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki, can be reached on (571) 272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SK

1/18/07

WILLIAM A. RIVERA PRIMARY EXAMINER